

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1833V

UNPUBLISHED

KLAUDIA AUBUCHON,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: February 27, 2020

Vaccine Rule 21(a); No Judgment;
Order Concluding Proceedings;
Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss

Alan K. Nicollette, Nordstrom, Steele, Tustin, CA , for petitioner.

Voris Edward Johnson, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

On February 24, 2020, Petitioner filed a Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a) in the above-captioned case. In support of this Motion, Petitioner states that a petition was previously filed on behalf of Petitioner by another counsel. The Vaccine Act states that “[o]nly one petition may be filed with respect to each administration of a vaccine.” 42 U.S.C. §300aa-11(b)(2). Thus, Petitioner’s motion is GRANTED.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21 (a), the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.