



On July 15, 2020, Respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report stating that this case is not appropriate for compensation pursuant to the Vaccine Act. Resp't's Rep. at 1, ECF No. 16. More specifically, Respondent stated that

[P]etitioner does not specially allege a Table claim, and [P]etitioner here does not meet the Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation (QAI) necessary to find such a Table Claim. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-14(c)(15) (QAI); Petition at ¶ 42. Petitioner does not allege a date upon which he began to experience symptomatology specifically due to GBS. However, [P]etitioner's acute ascending weakness leading to his hospitalization occurred about one hundred days after vaccination on January 18, 2017.

*Id. at 6.*

On July 16, 2020, I issued an order for Petitioner to file a status report indicating how he would like to proceed in light of Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report. *See non-PDF Scheduling Order on 7/16/2020.* On September 14, 2020, Petitioner requested 60 days to file an expert report in support of his case. Pet'r's Status Rep. on 9/14/2020, ECF No. 17. On the same day, I issued an order for Petitioner to file an expert report by November 13, 2020. *See non-PDF Scheduling Order on 9/14/2020.* On November 15, 2020, Petitioner filed a Motion for Enlargement because he was unable to retain an expert "with sufficient time and resources to produce a report." Pet'r's Status Rep. on 11/15/2020, ECF No. 18. Petitioner requested 60 days to file a status report on how he wished to proceed. *See id.* On November 16, 2020, I granted that request, and gave Petitioner until January 12, 2021 to file a status report on how he would like to proceed. *See non-PDF Scheduling Order on 11/16/2020.*

Petitioner filed the instant motion to dismiss his claim on January 12, 2021, stating "An investigation of the facts and science supporting his case demonstrated that he will be unable to prove that he is entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program." Pet'r's Mot. at 1, ECF No. 19.

## **II. Conclusion**

To receive compensation in the Vaccine Program, a petitioner must prove either (1) that he suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to his vaccination, or (2) that he suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* §§ 13(a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). Moreover, under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive a Vaccine Program award based solely on his claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent medical expert. § 13(a)(1). In this case, however, there is insufficient evidence in the record for Petitioner to meet his burden of proof. Petitioner's claim therefore cannot succeed and, in accordance with his motion, must be dismissed. § 11(c)(1)(A).

As such, **IT IS ORDERED THAT,**

Petitioner's motion for a decision dismissing his petition is **GRANTED** and the petition is hereby **DISMISSED**. **The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

Any questions regarding this Order may be directed to my law clerk, Sydney Lee, by telephone at 202-357-6347, or by email at Sydney\_Lee@cfc.uscourts.gov.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/ Katherine E. Oler**  
Katherine E. Oler  
Special Master