# In the United States Court of Federal Claims Office of special masters No. 18-1123V

UNPUBLISHED

DUSTIN BRADLEY,

Petitioner,

۷.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: December 30, 2019

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Damages Decision Based on Proffer; Tetanus Diphtheria acellular Pertussis (Tdap) Vaccine; Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (SIRVA)

Jimmy A. Zgheib, Zgheib Sayad, P.C., White Plains, NY, for petitioner.

Dhairya Divyakant Jani, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

## **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES**<sup>1</sup>

On August 1, 2018, Dustin Bradley filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (SIRVA). Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On September 10, 2019, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for a SIRVA. ECF 26. On December 30, 2019, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation ("Proffer") indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$105,563.91. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner agrees with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award Petitioner a lump sum payment of \$105,563.91 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision. $^{3}$ 

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Brian H. Corcoran</u> Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	
DUSTIN BRADLEY,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	
v.	*	No. 18-1123V (ECF)
	*	CHIEF SPECIAL MASTER
	*	BRIAN H. CORCORAN
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	

#### **RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION**

On September 3, 2019, respondent filed a Vaccine Rule 4(c) report concluding that petitioner suffered an injury (SIRVA) that is compensable under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34. Accordingly, on September 10, 2019, Special Master Dorsey issued a Ruling on Entitlement, finding petitioner, Dustin Bradley, entitled to Vaccine Act compensation for his Table SIRVA injury.

#### I. Amount of Compensation

Respondent now proffers that, based on the Special Master's entitlement decision and the evidence of record, petitioner should be awarded \$105,563.91.<sup>1</sup> This amount represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties have no objection to the amount of the proffered award of damages. Assuming the special master issues a damages decision in conformity with this proffer, the parties waive their right to seek review of such damages decision.

#### II. Items of Compensation and Form of the Award

The parties recommend that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment described below, and request that the Chief Special Master's damages decision and the Court's judgment award the following: <sup>2</sup>

#### A. <u>Petitioner's Damages</u>

A lump sum payment of \$105,563.91 (comprised of \$105,000.00 for pain and suffering and \$563.91 in unreimbursable expenses) in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Dustin Bradley.

#### B. Guardianship

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO Acting Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES Deputy Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

ALEXIS B. BABCOCK Assistant Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

### /s/ DHAIRYA D. JANI

DHAIRYA D. JANI Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future pain and suffering, and future lost wages.

Torts Branch, Civil Division P.O. Box 146 Benjamin Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0146 Tel: (202) 616-4356

Dated: December 30, 2019