In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-260V Filed: July 16, 2018 Not for Publication

Milton C. Ragsdale, IV, Birmingham, AL, for petitioner. Darryl R. Wishard, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On February 23, 2017, petitioner filed a petition under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10–34 (2012) alleging that the influenza ("flu") vaccine he received on November 2, 2015 caused his shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA"). Pet. Preamble and ¶ 2.

On March 19, 2018, the parties filed a stipulation in which they agreed to settle this case and described the settlement terms. Respondent denies that the flu vaccine caused petitioner to suffer SIRVA or any other injury. Nonetheless, the parties agreed to resolve this matter informally. On March 19, 2018, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation in

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

the amount and on the terms set forth in the stipulation. Judgment entered on the same day.

On July 12, 2018, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs, requesting attorneys' fees of \$33,508.00 and attorneys' costs of \$1,095.70, for a total request of \$34,603.70.² Because counsel paid the filing fee, petitioner had no costs.

On July 13, 2018, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion explaining he is satisfied that this case meets the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1)(A)-(B). Resp. at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the [undersigned] exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." <u>Id.</u> at 3.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of "reasonable attorneys' fees" and "other costs." 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). It is not necessary for a petitioner to prevail in the case-in-chief in order to receive a fee award as long as petitioner brought the claim in "good faith and there was a reasonable basis for the claim." <u>Id.</u> The special master has "wide discretion in determining the reasonableness" of attorneys' fees and costs. <u>Perreira v. Sec'y of HHS</u>, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (1992), <u>aff'd</u>, 33 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 1994); <u>see also Saxton ex rel. Saxton v. Sec'y of HHS</u>, 3 F.3d 1517, 1519 (Fed. Cir. 1993) ("Vaccine program special masters are also entitled to use their prior experience in reviewing fee applications.").

Based on her experience and review of the billing records submitted by petitioner, the undersigned finds petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs request reasonable. Therefore, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

Accordingly, the court awards \$34,603.70, representing attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable jointly to petitioner and Ragsdale LLC in the amount of \$34,603.70.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>July 16, 2018</u>

/s/ Laura D. Millman
Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² The total amount of attorneys' fees and costs of \$34,603.37 stated in petitioner's motion is incorrect.

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.