In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 17-0102V Filed: July 26, 2018 UNPUBLISHED

MARIA PETERS,

Petitioner,

v.

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorneys' Fees and Costs

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Amber Diane Wilson, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Washington, DC, for petitioner. Ann Donohue Martin, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On January 23, 2017, Maria Peters ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a left shoulder injury as the result of an influenza ("flu") vaccine she received on October 13, 2015. Petition at 1. On May 31, 2018, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 34).

On July 2, 2018, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 38). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$14,996.00 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,408.06. *Id.* at 1-2. In compliance with General Order #9,

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2. Thus, the total amount requested is \$16,404.06.

On July 16, 2018, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. (ECF No. 39). Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the Chief Special Master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3.

On July 17, 2018, petitioner filed a reply. (ECF No. 40.) Petitioner disputes respondent's position that she has no role in resolving attorneys' fees and costs and further reiterates her view that her attorneys' fees and costs in this case are reasonable.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request. In the undersigned's experience, the request appears reasonable, and the undersigned finds no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates, with the following exception.

Upon review of the records, a number of entries billed by the paralegals are for tasks better characterized as clerical or administrative. It is clearly established that secretarial work "should be considered as normal overhead office costs included within the attorneys' fee rates." *Rochester v. U.S.*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Dingle v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 08-579V, 2014 WL 630473, at *4 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 24, 2014). "[B]illing for clerical and other secretarial work is not permitted in the Vaccine Program." *Mostovoy*, 2016 WL 720969, at *5 (citing *Rochester*, 18 Cl. Ct. at 387). A total of 0.60 hours³ was billed by the attorney and paralegals on administrative tasks including, paying invoices, organizing documents, and submitting reimbursements. For these reasons the request for attorney's fees is reduced in the amount of \$130.80.⁴

The full amount of costs sought, \$1,408.06, is awarded.

³ Examples of these entries include: January 19, 2016 (0.2 hrs) "Review and organize retainer packet to client file"; August 3, 2017 (0.20 hrs) "Review billing invoices to case for reimbursement." and September 19, 2017 (0.10 hrs) "Update case costs re mileage reimbursement". These entries are merely examples and are not exhaustive.

⁴ This amount consists of: 0.2 hours at \$145 per hour, 0.10 hours at \$148 per hour and 0.3 hours at \$290 per hour.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$<u>16,273.26</u>⁵ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Amber Diane Wilson. Petitioner requests check be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota, Florida, 34236.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey Chief Special Master

⁵ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.,* 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.