In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-1649V

Filed: February 5, 2019 Reissued for Public Availability: March 11, 2019

Stephanie A. Schmitt, Esq., Krueger & Hernandez, SC, Middleton, WI, for petitioner. Julia M. Collison, Esq., US Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

Roth, Special Master:

On February 5, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal in the above-captioned case. ECF No. 43. Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a), the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Mindy Michaels Roth

Mindy Michaels Roth Special Master

¹ Although this Decision has been formally designated "unpublished," it will nevertheless be posted on the Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** However, the parties may object to the Decision's inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. Specifically, under Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has fourteen days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the whole Decision will be available to the public. *Id*.