In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 16-1061V Filed: April 3, 2017 UNPUBLISHED

WILLIAM STAAK, JR.,

Petitioner,

Damages Decision Based on Proffer;

V.

Influenza Vaccine ("Flu Vaccine");

Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine

Administration ("SIRVA");

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*

Respondent.

Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop, Attorney at Law, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner. Sarah C. Duncan, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On August 25, 2016, William Staak, Jr. ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"). Petitioner alleges that within two hours of the influenza vaccination on September 29, 2015, he developed severe left shoulder pain and that his injuries were caused by the influenza vaccination. Petition at 1, ¶¶ 5, 6, 14. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On February 17, 2017, the undersigned issued a ruling on entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to compensation. (ECF No. 17). On April 3, 2017, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation ("Proffer") indicating petitioner should be awarded

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

\$55,000.00. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$55,000.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, William Staak, Jr. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

WILLIAM STAAK, JR.,))
Petitioner,))) No. 16-1061V
v.) Chief Special Master Dorsey) ECF
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,)
Respondent.)))

PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

I. <u>Items of Compensation</u>

Based upon the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$55,000.00, which represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

II. Form of the Award

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respondent recommends that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment of \$55,000.00, in the form of a check payable to petitioner. Petitioner agrees.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO Acting Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

¹ Should petitioner die prior to the entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future lost earnings, and future pain and suffering.

CATHARINE E. REEVES Deputy Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

ALTHEA WALKER DAVIS Senior Trial Counsel Torts Branch, Civil Division

s/ Sarah C. Duncan
Sarah C. Duncan
Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 146
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146

Tel: (202) 514-9729 Fax: (202) 616-4310

DATED: April 3, 2017