

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-0851V

Filed: September 23, 2016

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

CARRIE BRAZELTON,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;  
Influenza (“Flu”) Vaccine; Shoulder  
Injury Related to Vaccine Administration  
(“SIRVA”); Special Processing Unit  
(“SPU”)

\*\*\*\*\*

*Amber D. Wilson, Maglio Christopher and Toale, PA, Washington, DC, for petitioner.  
Voris E. Johnson, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On July 20, 2016, Carrie Brazelton (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act” or “Program”). Petitioner alleges that as a result of receiving the influenza (“flu”) vaccine on September 30, 2015, she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”). Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit (“SPU”) of the Office of Special Masters.

On September 22, 2016, respondent filed a Rule 4(c) report conceding entitlement to compensation in this case. Rule 4(c) Rep. at 1. Respondent concluded, based on her evaluation of the evidence, that petitioner suffered a SIRVA, and that the preponderance of the medical evidence indicates the injury was causally related to the flu vaccination she received on September 30, 2015. *Id.* at 4. Respondent stated that

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012)(Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

she did not identify any other causes for petitioner's SIRVA, and that the evidence of record demonstrates petitioner has suffered the residual effects of her condition for more than six months. *Id.* Petitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Vaccine Act. *Id.*

**In view of respondent's concession and the evidence of record, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**

Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master