In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 16-433V

Filed: May 8, 2017 UNPUBLISHED

PELLA PARKER,

Petitioner,

V.

Petitioner,

Influenza ("Flu") Vaccination;

Shoulder Injury Related to

Vaccine Administration ("SIRVA");

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

**

**

Petitioner,

**

Damages Decision Based on Proffer;

Influenza ("Flu") Vaccination;

Shoulder Injury Related to

Vaccine Administration ("SIRVA");

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

Maximillian J. Muller, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner. Traci R. Patton, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On April 5, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that as a result of receiving an influenza ("flu") vaccine on October 16, 2013³, she suffered right shoulder injuries that were caused-in-fact by her vaccination. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

³ The petition alleges that petitioner received the flu vaccine on October 30, 2013. Exhibit 1 also indicates that the flu vaccine was administered on October 30, 2013, however, most of the records, including petitioner's vaccine consent form, indicate that the flu vaccine was administered on October 16, 2013. See, e.g., Petitioner's Exhibit ("Pet. Ex.") 2 at 1; Pet. Ex. 10.

On February 17, 2017, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA"). On May 8, 2017, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation ("Proffer") indicating petitioner should be awarded \$90,960.00. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees with the proffered award.

Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$90,960.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Pella Parker. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

PELLA PARKER,)
Petitioner, v. SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN) No. 16-433V) Chief Special Master Dorsey) ECF
SERVICES, Respondent.)))

RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

I. Compensation for Vaccine Injury-Related Items

On February 17, 2017, Chief Special Master Dorsey issued a Ruling on Entitlement finding that petitioner was entitled to vaccine compensation for her Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration ("SIRVA"). Based on the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$90,960.00. This amount represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

II. Form of the Award

The parties recommend that compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment of \$90,960.00, in the form of a check payable to petitioner. Petitioner agrees.

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

¹ Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future lost earnings and future pain and suffering.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO Acting Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES Deputy Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

MICHAEL P. MILMOE Senior Trial Counsel Torts Branch, Civil Division

s/Traci R. Patton
TRACI R. PATTON
Senior Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 146
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146
Telephone: (202) 353-1589

Dated: May 8, 2017