## In the United States Court of Federal Claims OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 16-0067V Filed: May 23, 2016 UNPUBLISHED

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	
BARBARA STEELE,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;
V.	*	Tetanus-Diphtheria-Acellular Pertussis
	*	("Tdap") and Influenza ("Flu") Vaccines;
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Administration ("SIRVA"); Special
	*	Processing Unit ("SPU")
Respondent.	*	<b>G</b> ( )
	*	
	بار بار بار بار	

Brian Lee Burchett, Burchett Law Firm, San Diego, CA, for petitioner. Alexis B. Babcock, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

## **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT<sup>1</sup>**

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On January 12, 2016, Barbara Steele ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that as a result of receiving the Tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis ("Tdap") and influenza ("flu") vaccines on November 29, 2012, she suffered a shoulder injury. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On May 23, 2016, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) report in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, respondent states that this case is appropriate for compensation under the terms of the Act. *Id.* Respondent further agrees that petitioner's injury is consistent with a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (SIRVA) and that she has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

In view of respondent's concession and the evidence before me, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Nora Beth Dorsey</u> Nora Beth Dorsey Chief Special Master