

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-1432V

Filed: August 11, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

\*\*\*\*\*

ROBERT T. FERENC,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

v.

\*

\*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

SECRETARY OF HEALTH

\*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Robert P. Goodwin, Walsh Roberts & Grace, Buffalo, NY, for petitioner.*

*Ryan D. Pyles, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On November 30, 2015, Robert T. Ferenc ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"). Petitioner alleges that as a result of receiving an influenza ("flu") vaccine on September 11, 2013, he suffered an injury to his left shoulder. Petition at 1. On July 25, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer to which petitioner agreed. (ECF No. 23).

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On August 11, 2016, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 27).<sup>3</sup> Petitioner requests attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$7,017.23. *Id.* at ¶ 11. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at ¶ 8.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$7,017.23<sup>4</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Robert P. Goodwin.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and specifically states that respondent has no objection to petitioner's request. Petitioner's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs at ¶ 10. Additionally, respondent's counsel confirmed respondent's lack of objection in an email to the staff attorney managing this SPU case on August 11, 2016. See Informal Remark, dated Aug. 11, 2016.

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.