# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 15-1320V Filed: September 15, 2016 UNPUBLISHED

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *	
ESMERALDA MORALES,	*	
	*	
Petitioner, v.	*	
	*	
	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Special Processing Unit ("SPU")
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *	

Diana Sedar, Maglio, Christopher and Toale, PA (FL), Sarasota, FL, for petitioner. Camille Collett, Department of Justice, Washington, DC for respondent.

### DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On November 4, 2015, Esmeralda Morales ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury caused in fact by the influenza vaccination she received on March 19, 2014. Petition, at ¶¶ 1, 9. On August 2, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer to which petitioner agreed. (ECF No 36).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On September 13, 2016, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 40).<sup>3</sup> Petitioner requests attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$14,000.00. *Id.* at ¶ 3. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner has filed a signed statement indicating petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses.<sup>4</sup>

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$<u>14,000.00</u><sup>5</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Diana Sedar of Maglio, Christopher & Toale PA. Per petitioner's request, the award for attorney's fees and costs shall be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota Florida 34236 which is the address of record for petitioner's counsel. *Id.* at 2.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>6</sup>

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.

#### s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey Chief Special Master

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and indicates respondent has no objection. Motion at  $\P$  4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Additionally, in accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. Motion at  $\P$  5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.