

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-1111V

Filed: August 17, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

\*\*\*\*\*

DENNIS VIVIAN, \*

\*

\*

Petitioner, \*

\*

v. \*

\*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

SECRETARY OF HEALTH \*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES, \*

\*

\*

Respondent. \*

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Edward Kraus, Law Offices of Chicago Kent, Chicago, IL, for petitioner.*

*Ann Martin, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On October 2, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") following an influenza vaccine. On May 31, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' joint stipulation. (ECF No. 26).

On August 3, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 31). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$13,382.39 and attorneys'

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

costs in the amount of \$617.61 for a total amount of \$14,000.00. *Id.* at 1. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner has not incurred any additional fees or costs related to the litigation of this case. Petitioner's counsel also represents that he will reimburse petitioner for any additional litigation costs paid to him by petitioner from the award of attorneys' fees and costs in this case.

On August 3, 2016, respondent filed a response stating respondent does not object to the overall amount sought in this case. (ECF No. 32).

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$14,000.00 (\$13,382.39 in attorneys' fees and \$617.61 in attorneys' costs)<sup>3</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Edward Kraus.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>4</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.