In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-997V (not to be published)

Michael A. London, Douglas & London, P.C., New York, NY, for Petitioner.

Christine M. Becer, U. S. Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS 1

On September 10, 2015, Eugene Williams filed a petition seeking compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the "Vaccine Program"), alleging that he suffered from transverse myelitis as a result of his October 11, 2012 receipt of the influenza vaccine.² The parties eventually filed a stipulation for damages on March 9, 2017 (ECF No. 25), which I adopted as my decision awarding damages that same day. ECF No. 26.

¹ Although this Decision has been formally designated "not to be published," it will nevertheless be posted on the Court of Federal Claims's website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 (2012). **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet**. As provided by 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B), however, the parties may object to the decision's inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. Specifically, under Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has fourteen days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the Ruling in its present form will be available. *Id*.

² The Vaccine Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3758, codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 through 34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Individual section references hereafter will be to § 300aa of the Act (but will omit that statutory prefix).

Petitioner has now filed a motion requesting final attorney's fees and costs, dated September 29, 2017. *See* Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, dated Sept. 29, 2017 (ECF No. 30) ("Fees App."). Petitioner requests reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs in the total amount of \$18,804.82 (representing \$16,057.50 in attorney's fees, plus \$2,577.34 in costs). *Id.* at 3. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner represents that he incurred \$169.98 in personal litigation expenses in conjunction with this proceeding. *Id.*, Exhibit C. Respondent filed a document reacting to the fees request on October 10, 2017, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring to my discretion the determination of the amount to be awarded. ECF No. 31 at 2-3.

As a successful Vaccine Program Petitioner, Mr. Williams is entitled to an award for fees and costs. Petitioner's counsel is located in New York City, which has been found to be an "inforum" location for purposes of determining the appropriate attorney hourly rate. *See, e.g., Echevarria v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 15-100V, 2016 WL 6872975, at *3 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Oct. 28, 2016) (forum rates are appropriate for the New York City metro area); *Becker v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 13-687V, 2014 WL 4923160, at *5-6 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 11, 2014). The forum rate ranges as set forth in *McCulloch v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 09-293V, 2015 WL 5634323, at *19 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015) establish that an attorney such as Mr. Michael London with 11-19 years' experience should receive between \$300-\$375 per hour, while an attorney with the same experience as Ms. Virginia Anello (8-10 years' experience) should receive \$275-\$350 per hour. *McCulloch*, 2015 WL 5634323, at *19.³

Here, Petitioner requests \$375 per hour for Mr. Michael London, \$350 per hour for work performed by Ms. Virginia Anello, and \$135 per hour for one paralegal, for work performed from 2014-2017. Fees App. at 3. These requested rates are within the appropriate hourly rate ranges established for attorneys with comparable experience, are consistent with what I have awarded Petitioner's counsel in prior cases, and will therefore be awarded. *Kong v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 14-145V, 2017 WL 2927304 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. June 6, 2017). The hours expended on this matter also appear to be reasonable, and Respondent did not identify any entries as objectionable. Finally, the requested litigation costs (for both Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel) appear to be reasonable, and will also be awarded.

I hereby GRANT Petitioner's motion for attorney's fees and costs. Accordingly, an award of \$18,804.82 should be made in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Michael A. London, Esq. An award of \$169.98 should also be made in the form of a check payable to Petitioner, Mr. Eugene Williams. Payment of this amount represents all attorney's fees and costs available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e). In the absence of a motion for review filed

³ Mr. London had 16-19 years of experience and Ms. Anello had 8-11 years' experience from 2014-2017, respectively. Fees App. at 22.

pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Brian H. Corcoran Brian H. Corcoran Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment if (jointly or separately) they file notices renouncing their right to seek review.