

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-943V

Filed: March 30, 2017

Not for Publication

\*\*\*\*\*

JEFFERY MILLER,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

\*

v.

\*

Attorneys' fees and costs decision;  
reasonable attorneys' fees and costs

\*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

\*

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Ronald C. Homer, Boston, MA, for petitioner.

Althea Walker Davis, Washington, DC, for respondent.

**MILLMAN, Special Master**

### **DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On August 28, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10–34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that he developed multiple sclerosis as a result of his receipt of the influenza and tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis vaccines on September 17, 2012. On November 4, 2016, the undersigned issued a damages decision in this case pursuant to the parties' stipulation, awarding petitioner \$195,000.00 in compensation.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

On March 15, 2017, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs requesting attorneys' fees in the amount of \$15,398.00 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,022.49, for a total request of \$16,420.49. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement saying he had not advanced any costs in this case.

On March 30, 2017, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion explaining that she is satisfied that this case meets the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1)(A)-(B). Resp. at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the special master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." Id.

Under the Vaccine Act, a special master or a judge on the U.S. Court of Federal Claims shall award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for any petition that results in an award of compensation. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1); Sebelius v. Cloer, 133 S. Ct. 1886, 1893 (2013). The special master has "wide discretion in determining the reasonableness" of attorneys' fees and costs. Perreira v. Sec'y of HHS, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (1992), aff'd, 33 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 1994); see also Saxton ex rel. Saxton v. Sec'y of HHS, 3 F.3d 1517, 1519 (Fed. Cir. 1993) ("Vaccine program special masters are also entitled to use their prior experience in reviewing fee applications.").

Based on her experience and review of the billing records submitted by petitioner, the undersigned finds petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs request reasonable. Accordingly, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and awards **\$16,420.49**, representing attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable jointly to petitioner and Conway, Homer, P.C. in the amount of **\$16,420.49**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.<sup>2</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 30, 2017

/s/ Laura D. Millman  
Laura D. Millman  
Special Master

---

<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.