## In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
JAMES O. JONES, JR.,	*	
	*	No. 15-906V
Petitioner,	*	Special Master Christian J. Moran
	*	
V.	*	Filed: December 13, 2017
	*	,
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Attorneys' fees and costs; waiver
<i>,</i>	*	<b>,</b>
Respondent.	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	

<u>Ramon Rodriguez, III</u>, Sands Anderson PC, Richmond, VA, for Petitioner; <u>Amy P. Kokot</u>, United States Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

## UNPUBLISHED DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

After receiving compensation through the Vaccine Program, James Jones filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Mr. Jones is awarded the full amount of his request, **\$36,748.49**.

\* \* \*

Mr. Jones alleged that various vaccinations caused him to suffer transverse myelitis or, alternatively, to significantly aggravate an underlying disorder that caused him to develop transverse myelitis. The parties agreed to a stipulation resolving the amount of compensation. The stipulation was incorporated into a decision awarding Mr. Jones' compensation. <u>Decision</u>, issued Mar. 21, 2017, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The E-Government Act, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services), requires that the Court post this decision on its website. Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 18(b), the parties have 14 days to file a motion proposing redaction of medical information or other information described in 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4). Any redactions ordered by the special master will appear in the document posted on the website.

WL 1410780. With the merits of Mr. Jones' case resolved, the parties addressed attorneys' fees and costs.

Mr. Jones filed an attorneys' fees and costs petition on November 1, 2017, requesting reimbursement for work done by his counsel at two different law firms, RawlsMcNelis, PC, and Sands Anderson PC. Mr. Jones requests \$30,760.70 in fees/\$558.88 in costs for RawlsMcNelis, PC, and \$4420.40 in fees/\$2.10 in costs for Sands Anderson PC. Mr. Jones incurred \$1,006.41 in costs personally. Exhibit 21 (General Order No. 9 statement).

The Secretary filed a response representing that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Resp't's Resp., filed Nov. 15, 2017, at 2. The Secretary did not raise any specific objections and recommended that the Special Master "exercise his discretion" when determining a reasonable award. Id. at 3.

This matter is now ripe for adjudication.

\* \* \*

Because Mr. Jones received compensation, he is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–15(e).

Pursuant to the rationale established in <u>Swintosky v. Sec'y of Health &</u> <u>Human Servs.</u>, No. 12-403V, 2017 WL 5899239 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Nov. 6, 2017),<sup>2</sup> the Secretary has waived any objections to the amount of fees requested. Absent any objections, Mr. Jones's request for attorneys' fees and costs is GRANTED IN FULL:

- a. A lump sum of \$31,319.58 in the form of a check made payable to petitioner and the previous firm of petitioner's attorney, RawlsMcNelis, PC;
- b. A lump sum of \$4,422.50 in the form of a check made payable to petitioner and the current firm of petitioner's attorney, Sands Anderson PC; and

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  In <u>Swintosky</u>, the parties submitted a joint notice of decision not to seek review of the Fees Decision.

## c. A lump sum of \$1,006.41 in the form of a check made payable to petitioner.

These amounts represents reimbursement attorneys' fees and other litigation costs available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e). In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.<sup>3</sup>

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Christian J. Moran</u> Christian J. Moran Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.