

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-0771V

Filed: June 15, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

CARRIE PAYNE,

*

*

Petitioner,

*

v.

*

*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

SECRETARY OF HEALTH

*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

*

*

Respondent.

*

*

Lawrence R. Cohan, Anapol Weiss, Philadelphia, PA, for petitioner.

Christine Mary Becer, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On July 23, 2015, Carrie Payne ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a left rotator cuff injury as a result of an influenza ("flu") vaccine she received on October 14, 2014. On March 30, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 30).

On May 17, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 34). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$16,393.50, and attorneys'

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

costs in the amount of \$2,122.85, for a total amount of \$18,516.35. *Id.* at 2. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses.

On June 2, 2016, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. (ECF No. 36). Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that she "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Additionally, she "asserts that a reasonable amount for fees and costs in the present case would fall between \$12,000 to \$14,000" citing a number of SIRVA cases. *Id.* at 3.

On the same day, June 2, 2016, petitioner filed a reply. (ECF No. 37). Petitioner argues that respondent has provided "no precise objection" but only "a self-serving" survey of what the respondent believes the range for fees and costs in this case should be. *Id.* at 3. Petitioner includes a list of the attorneys' fees and costs awarded petitioner's counsel in SIRVA cases since 2013.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request. In the undersigned's experience, the request appears reasonable.

Petitioner requests additional attorneys' fees in the amount of \$725.00, (2.5 hours of time)³ for preparing the reply. *Id.* at 7 n.1. Petitioner states that the reply brief is not "completely identical to its previously replies to other responses" because respondent cited to five cases that formed the basis of her survey and which had to be researched and the reply brief modified. Petitioner states that "a proper reduction was implemented in this additional request since many of Respondent's arguments have been addressed by Petitioner's previous Replies." *Id.* at 7. The undersigned finds the request for additional hours spent preparing the reply to be reasonable and awards the full amount requested for preparation of the reply brief, \$725.00.⁴ Thus, the total amount awarded for attorneys' fees and costs is **\$19,241.35**.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

³ Petitioner billed an additional 2.5 hours of time at Attorney David J. Carney's rate of \$290 x 2.5 hours for preparation of the reply brief.

⁴ The undersigned may reduce the attorneys' fees sought for additional filings of a similar reply in other cases.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$19,241.35,⁵ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Lawrence R. Cohan.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

⁵ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.