## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 13-161C (Filed April 29, 2015) NOT FOR PUBLICATION

ROY SMITH, on his own behalf and for others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

As discussed at today's status conference, the government's motion for a protective order to limit its obligation to respond to plaintiff's first set of discovery requests is **GRANTED**. The Court believes that the current version of Rule 26 of the Rules of the United States Court of Federal Claims (RCFC), which limits discovery as of right to any matter that is relevant to a party's claims or defenses, does not entitle plaintiff to discovery concerning the identity and treatment of putative class members at Veterans Canteen Service locations across the nation. See Knutson v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota, 254 F.R.D. 553, 557–58 (D. Minn. 2008); Estate of Rubinstein v. United States, 96 Fed. Cl. 640, 646 (2011). This does not, of course, preclude plaintiff from attempting in the future to demonstrate good cause for discovery related to individual class members' claims; nor does it prevent plaintiff from seeking discovery related to the general practices, policies, and procedures of the agency, which plaintiff argues make class certification appropriate in this case. The RCFC 23 class certification process normally relies on proof by deduction, rather than by inductively assembling facts concerning unnamed individuals to see what they have in common.

The parties will file, on or by **May 12, 2015**, a joint status report proposing a schedule for further proceedings in this case. A status conference will be held, by telephone, on **May 13, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. EDT**. Chambers will initiate the call for the status conference.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Victor J. Wolski

VICTOR J. WOLSKI Judge